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The equations of the unsteady plane laminar magnetohydrodynamic boundary layer have been solved by several authors ([1-4], for instance). Below we solve some problems for the following cases of spatial flows.

1. The boundary layer on an infinitely long cylinder to which a translational velocity and rotation are instantaneously imparted.
2. The boundary layer on a sideslipping wing which has begun to move with constant velocity.
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1. BOUNDARY LAYER ON CYLINDER ROTATING IN AN AXIAL FLOW.
A) The equation of motion of a viscous fluid in the presence of a magnetic field normal to the surface of a body can be written in the approximate form [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d V}{d t}=-\rho^{-1} \nabla p+\nu \nabla^{2} V-\sigma \rho^{-1} B_{0}^{2} V \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $V$ is the velocity vector, $p$ is the pressure, $\rho$ is the density $B_{0}$ is the magnetic induction, $\sigma$ is the electrical conductivity of the fluid, $v$ is the kinematic viscosity, and $\tau$ is the time.

Assume that the thickness of the boundary layer is small in comparison with the cylinder radius. Then, for the case under consideration with a constant translational velocity $\mathrm{U}_{0}$ and a constant angular velocity $\omega$ the projections of Eq. (1.1) onto the axial and circular direction lines when the magnetic field is fixed relative to the fluid (case a) will be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=v \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z^{2}}-\sigma \rho^{-1} B_{0}{ }^{2} u, \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}=v \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial z^{2}}-\rho \sigma^{-1} B_{0}^{2} v \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $u$ and $v$ are the velocity components in the boundary layer along the cylinder axis and in the circular direction; $z$ is the coordinate measured along the perpendicular from the cylinder surface.

If the magnetic field is rigidly connected with the cylinder (case b), then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=v \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z^{2}}-\sigma \rho^{-1} B_{\underline{0}}{ }^{2}\left(u-U_{\underline{0}}\right) \\
& \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}=v \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial z^{2}}-\sigma \rho^{-1} B_{0_{0}}\left(v-\omega r_{\underline{0}}\right), \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $r_{0}$ is the cylinder radius.
Equations (1.2) with corresponding boundary conditions are similar to those for the boundary layer on an infinite flat plate. Their solution can be found in [1].
B) If the thickness of the boundary layer is comparable to the cylinder radius, Eqs. (1.2) are no longer valid. We write the equations
for case a in a cylindrical coordinate system

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=\frac{v}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right)-\sigma p^{-1} B_{0}^{2} u \\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}=v\left[\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right)-\frac{v}{r^{2}}\right]-\sigma p^{-1} B_{0}^{2} v . \tag{1.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

The boundary and initial conditions are

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
u=U_{0}, & v=\omega r_{0} & \text { when } r=r_{0}
\end{array} \quad t>0,0, ~\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\text { as } & r \geqslant r_{0} \\
u \rightarrow \underline{0}, & v \rightarrow \underline{0} \\
u \text { as } & r \rightarrow \infty, t \geqslant \underline{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Converting (1.4) and (1.5) to the dimensionless variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{0}=\frac{u}{U_{\underline{0}}}, \quad v^{0}=\frac{v}{\omega r_{\underline{0}}}, \quad \tau_{0}=\frac{v t}{r_{\underline{0}}^{2}}, \quad r^{0}=\frac{r}{r_{\underline{0}}}, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and henceforth omitting the subscripts 0 in the dimensionless quantities, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau}=-\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right)-M^{2} u, \\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial \tau}=\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\right)-\left(r^{-2}+M^{2}\right) \quad v, \quad M=\left(\frac{\sigma B_{0}^{2} r_{0}^{2}}{v \rho}\right)^{1 / 2},  \tag{1.6}\\
u=1, \quad v=1 \text { when } r=1, \quad \tau>0, \\
u \rightarrow \underline{0}, \quad v \rightarrow 0
\end{gather*} \quad \text { when } \begin{cases}r \geqslant 1, & \tau=\underline{0},  \tag{1.7}\\
r \rightarrow \infty, & \tau \geqslant 0 .\end{cases}
$$

where M is the Hartmann number.
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To solve Eqs. (1.6) we use the Laplace transformation

$$
U=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s \tau} u d \tau, \quad V=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s \tau} v d \tau
$$

From (1.6) we obtain a system of Bessel equations for the images of U and V :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d^{2} U}{d r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{d U}{d r}=\left(s+M^{2}\right) U \\
\frac{d^{2} V}{d r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{d V}{d r}=\left(s+M^{2}+r^{-2}\right) V \tag{1.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

with boundary conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& U=1, V=1 \quad \text { when } r=1 \\
& U \rightarrow 0, V \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.9}
\end{align*} \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty, ~ l
$$

Since images must become zero at infinity, from the two particular solutions of each of Eqs. (1.8), presented in the form of Bessel functions of imaginary argument of zero order for (1.8.1) and of first order for (1.8.2), we must use only the one which contains the MacDonald function, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=A K_{0}\left(r \sqrt{s+M^{2}}\right), \quad V=B K_{1}\left(r \sqrt{s+M^{2}}\right) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Determining the constants of integration $A$ and $B$ from the first boundary condition (1.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\frac{K_{\underline{0}}\left(r \sqrt{s+M^{2}}\right)}{K_{\underline{0}}\left(\sqrt{s+M^{3}}\right)}, \quad V=\frac{K_{1}\left(r \sqrt{s+M^{3}}\right)}{K_{\mathrm{I}}\left(\sqrt{s+M^{2}}\right)} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The originals $u$ and $v$ are found from the inversion formulas

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\sigma-i \infty}^{\sigma+i \infty} \frac{K_{0}\left(r \sqrt{s+M^{2}}\right)}{K_{0}\left(\sqrt{s+M^{2}}\right)} e^{s \tau} \frac{d s}{s}, \\
& v=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\sigma-i \infty}^{\sigma+i \infty} \frac{K_{1}\left(r \sqrt{s+M^{2}}\right)}{K_{1}\left(r \sqrt{s+M^{2}}\right.} e^{s=} \frac{d s}{s} . \tag{1.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating (1.12) we reduce the problem to quadratures

$$
\begin{gather*}
u=\frac{K_{\underline{0}}(r M)}{K_{\underline{0}}(M)}+\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\underline{0}}^{\infty} \frac{I_{0}(r \alpha) N_{0}(\alpha)-I_{0}(\alpha) N_{0}(r x)}{I_{0}^{2}(\alpha)+\bar{N}_{0}^{2}(\alpha)} \times \\
 \tag{1.13}\\
\times e^{-\left(\alpha^{2}+M^{2}\right) \tau} \frac{\alpha d \alpha}{\alpha^{2}+M^{2}} \\
v=\frac{K_{1}(r M)}{K_{1}(M)}+\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-}^{\infty} \frac{I_{1}(r \alpha) N_{1}(\alpha)-I_{1}(\alpha) N_{1}(r \alpha)}{I_{1}^{2}(\alpha)+N_{1}^{2}(\alpha)} \times  \tag{1.14}\\
\\
\times e^{-\left(\alpha^{2}+M^{2}\right) \tau} \frac{\alpha d \alpha}{\alpha^{2}+M^{2}} .
\end{gather*}
$$

The friction coefficients $C_{f}$ and the friction moment $C_{M}$ are given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
C_{f}=\frac{2 \mu}{\rho J_{0}^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\right)_{r=r_{\underline{0}}}=-\frac{2}{R_{1}}\left[\frac{M K_{1}(M)}{K_{0}(M)}+\right. \\
+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}} \int_{\underline{0}}^{\infty} \frac{\exp \left[-\left(\alpha^{2}+M^{2}\right) \tau\right]}{I_{\underline{0}}^{2}(\alpha)+N_{0}^{2}(\alpha)} \frac{\alpha d \alpha}{\left.\alpha^{2}+M^{2}\right]}, \quad R_{1}=\frac{U_{0} r_{0} \rho}{\mu}  \tag{1.15}\\
C_{M}=\frac{4 \pi \mu}{\rho \omega^{3} r_{0}^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}-\frac{v}{r}\right)_{r=r_{2}}= \\
=-\frac{4 \pi}{R_{2}}\left[\frac{M}{2} \frac{K_{2}(M)+K_{0}(M)}{K_{1}(M)}+\right. \\
\left.+1+\frac{2}{\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\exp \left[-\left\{\alpha^{2}+M^{2}\right) \tau\right]}{I_{1}^{2}(\alpha)+N_{1}^{2}(\alpha)} \frac{\alpha d \alpha}{\alpha^{2}+M^{2}}\right], R_{2}=\frac{\omega r_{0}^{2} \rho}{\mu} . \tag{1.16}
\end{gather*}
$$

As $\mathrm{M} \rightarrow 0$, Eqs. (1.14) and (1.16) become the solutions given in [5] for ordinary hydrodynamics.

For case $b$ the procedure is similar to that given.
C) The values of $u, v, C_{f}$, and $C_{M}$ can be found by numerical methods. However, they will be particular solutions which are not suitable enough for practical application. We will attemp, to obtain approximate formulas for $\mathrm{C}_{f}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{M}}$.

Numerical calculations showed that a sufficiently accurate approximation is

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[I_{i}^{2}(\alpha)+N_{i}^{2}(\alpha)\right]^{-1} \approx C_{i} \alpha(i=\underline{0}, 1)} \\
C_{0}=1.686, C_{1}=1.244 \tag{1.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then the quadratures in (1.15) and (1.16) are calculated [6]

$$
\begin{gather*}
C_{i} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\exp \left[-\left(\alpha^{2}+M^{2}\right) \tau\right]}{\alpha^{2}+M^{2}} \alpha^{2} d \alpha= \\
=\frac{C_{i}}{2}\left\{\left(\frac{\pi}{\tau}\right)^{1 / 6} \exp \left(-M^{2} \tau\right)-\pi M[1-\operatorname{erf}(M \sqrt{\tau})\}\right. \tag{1.18}
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence, in view of (1.18), we recommend replacement of (1.15) and (1.16) by the following approximate formulas:

$$
\begin{gather*}
C_{f}=-\frac{2}{R_{1}}\left[\frac{M K_{1}(M)}{K_{0}(M)}+\frac{C_{0}}{\pi^{2}}\left\{\left(\frac{\pi}{\tau}\right)^{1 / 2} \times\right.\right. \\
\left.\times \exp \left(-M^{2} \tau\right)-\pi M[1-\operatorname{erf}(M \sqrt{\tau})]\right\},  \tag{1.19}\\
C_{M}=-\frac{4 \pi}{R_{2}}\left[\frac{M}{2} \frac{K_{2}(M)+K_{0}(M)}{K_{1}(M)}+1+\right. \\
\left.+\frac{C_{1}}{\pi^{2}}\left\{\left(\frac{\pi}{\tau}\right)^{1 / 2} \exp \left(-M^{2} \tau\right)-\pi M[1-\operatorname{erf}(M \sqrt{\tau})]\right\}\right] . \tag{1.20}
\end{gather*}
$$

It follows from (1.19) and (1.20) that with increase in $M$ the time required to attain the limit values of $C_{f}$ and $C_{M}$ is reduced. Figures 1 and 2 show the changes in $C_{f}$ and $C_{M}$ for several values of $M$. The regions of steady and unsteady regimes in Figs. 1 and 2 are separated by dashed lines. These boundaries are drawn on the assumption that the regime is steady when $\mathrm{C}_{f}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{M}}$ differ from their limit values by no more than $1 \%$.

It is an interesting fact that in ordinary hydrodynamics $u(r, \tau) \rightarrow 1$ as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ [see (1.13)], i. e., the fluid moves together with the cylinder as a solid body. When $M \neq 0$, there are limiting velocity profiles differing from $\mathrm{v}=$ const. To illustrate the effect of the number M on the velocity profiles in steady regimes, Figs. 3 and 4 show the results for calculations of the relationship between $u / U_{0}$ and $r / t_{0}$, where $r_{0}$ is the cylinder radius. It is clear that with fncrease in $M$ the thickness of the boundary layer decreases, i.e., the magnetic field "presses" the boundary layer against the cylinder.

## 2. BOUNDARY LAYER ON SIDESLIPPING WING.

A) Let a sideslipping wing (sideslip angle B) situated in a transverse magnetic field be instantaneously given a translational velocity $W_{\underline{0}}$. Since the flow characteristics are independent of the coordj.nate along the wing span, the equations of motion and continuity take the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}=-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}+v \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z^{2}}-\frac{\sigma B_{0}^{2}}{\rho} u  \tag{2.1}\\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}+u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}+w \frac{\partial v}{\partial z}=v \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial z^{2}}-\frac{\sigma B_{0}^{2}}{\rho} v \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial z}=\underline{0} \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $u, v$, and $w$ are the velocity components in the direction of the chord, the span, and the normal to the surface of the wing, while $x$ and $z$ are the coordinates measured along the chord (along the normal to the generatrix of the wing) and along the normal to the wing surface, respectively.

Equations (2.1) and (2.3) are not associated with (2.2) and, hence, they can be solved independently of the latter. This system is similar to that describing the development of a boundary layer on a body in a plane flow [2].

Equations (2.1) and (2.3) are solved with the following boundary and initial condítions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=v=w=\underline{0} \quad \text { when } \quad\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
z \geqslant 0, & t=0 \\
z=\underline{0}, & t \geqslant \underline{0} \\
u \rightarrow U(x), \quad v \rightarrow V(x)
\end{array} \quad \text { as } \quad z \rightarrow \infty,\right. \\
& u>\overline{0} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

From the boundary conditions (2.4) and Eqs. (2.1) and (2.3) as $z \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}=U\left(\frac{d U}{d x}+\frac{\sigma B_{0}^{2}}{\rho}\right), \quad U \frac{d V}{d x}=-\frac{\sigma B_{0}^{2}}{\rho} V \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that $U$ corresponds exactly with the velocity distribution outside the boundary layer in the case of a plane flow. We will assume
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it to be a known function of the coordinate $x$. Then from (2.5.2) and the condition that in the incoming flow

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\infty}=W_{\underline{0}} \sin \beta, \quad U_{\infty}=W_{\underline{0}} \cos \beta, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=V_{\infty} \exp \left[-\frac{\sigma B_{0}{ }^{2}}{\rho} \int_{\underline{0}}^{x} \frac{d x}{U(x)}\right] \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We introduce the symbols

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{\circ}=\frac{u}{U_{\infty}}, \quad v^{\circ}=\frac{v}{U_{\infty}}, \quad U^{\circ}=\frac{U}{U_{\infty}}, \quad V^{\circ}=\frac{V}{U_{\infty}}, \\
u^{\circ}=\frac{w}{U_{\infty}} \sqrt{R} ; \quad x^{\circ}=\frac{x}{b}, \quad z^{\circ}=\frac{z}{b} \sqrt{R}, \\
R=\frac{U_{\infty} b}{v}, \quad m=\frac{\sigma B_{0} b}{\rho U_{\infty}}=\frac{M^{2}}{R}, \quad \tau=\frac{U_{\infty} t}{b} \tag{2.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $b$ is the chord of the wing.
Then, using (2.5.1) we can write Eqs. (2.1)-(2.4) and (2.7) in dimensionless form (superscripts ${ }^{\circ}$ omitted)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau}+u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}=U\left(\frac{\partial U}{d x}+m\right)+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z^{2}}-m u, \\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial v}+u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}+w \frac{\partial v}{\partial z}=\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial z^{2}}-m v, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial z}=\underline{0}, \\
V(x)=\operatorname{tg} \beta \exp \left[-m \int_{\underline{0}}^{x}\left[\frac{d x}{U(x)}\right] ;\right.  \tag{2.9}\\
u=v=w=\underline{0} \quad \text { when } \begin{cases}z \geqslant 0, & \tau=0 \\
z=-\overrightarrow{0}, & \tau \geqslant 0\end{cases} \\
u \rightarrow U(x), \quad v \rightarrow V(x) \quad \text { as } \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \tau>0 . \tag{2.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

As in [2], we will seek the solution of system (2.9) and (2.10) in the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
\psi=2 \sqrt{\tau}\left[f_{0}(x, \eta)+\tau f_{1}(x, \eta)+\tau^{2} f_{2}(x, \eta)+\ldots\right], \\
u=\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z}=\frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{0}}}{\partial \eta}+\tau \frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{1}}}{\partial \eta}+\tau^{2} \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial \eta}+\ldots, \\
w=-\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}=-2 \sqrt{\tau}\left(\frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial x}+\tau \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x}+\tau^{2} \frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{g}}}{\partial x}+\ldots\right), \\
v=g_{0}(x, \eta)+\tau g_{1}(x, \eta)+\tau^{2} g_{2}(x, \eta)+\ldots,\left(\eta=\frac{z}{2 \sqrt{\tau}}\right) . \tag{2.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

Substituting (2.11.1) into (2.9) and equating the coefficients of equal powers of $\tau$, we obtain

$$
\frac{\partial^{s} f_{i}}{\partial \eta^{3}}+2 \eta \frac{\partial^{3} f_{i}}{\partial \eta^{3}}-4 i \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial \eta}=2 \Pi_{1 i}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial^{2} g_{i}}{\partial \eta^{2}}+2 \eta \frac{\partial g_{i}}{\partial \eta}-4 i g_{i}=2 \Pi_{2 i},  \tag{2.12}\\
& \Pi_{1 i}=2 \delta\left[-\gamma U\left(\frac{d U}{d x}+m\right)+m \frac{\partial f_{i-1}}{\partial \eta}-\right. \\
& \left.-\sum_{\substack{j, k=0 \\
j+k=i=1}}^{i-1}\left(\frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{k}}{\partial \eta^{2}}-\frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{k}}{\partial x \partial \eta}\right)\right],  \tag{2.13}\\
& \Pi_{2 i}=2 \delta\left[m g_{i-1}-\sum_{\substack{j, k=0 \\
j+k=i-1}}^{i-1}\left(\frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial g_{k}}{\partial \eta}-\frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial g_{k}}{\partial x}\right)\right], \\
& \delta=\underline{0} \text { when } i=\underline{0}, \delta=1 \text { when } i \geqslant 1 \text {, } \\
& \gamma=1 \text { when } i=1, \gamma=\underline{0} \text { when } i \geqslant 2 . \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

The boundary and initial conditions are

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{i}=\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial \eta}=g_{i}=\underline{0} \text { when } \eta=\underline{0} \\
\frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial \eta} \rightarrow U(x), g_{\underline{0}} \rightarrow V(x), \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial \eta} \rightarrow \underline{0}, g_{i} \rightarrow \underline{0}(i \geqslant 1) \text { as } \eta \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

It follows from (2.12)-(2.15) that for $\mathrm{i}=\underline{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{U(x)} \frac{\partial f_{0}}{\partial \eta}=\frac{1}{V(x)} g_{\underline{0}}=\operatorname{erf} \eta \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account the results of [2], for $i=1$ we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \eta}=L\left[\eta, \Pi_{1 i}\right], g_{1}=L\left[\eta, \Pi_{2 i}\right] \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function L has the properties

$$
\begin{gathered}
L[\eta, \varphi] \leqslant 0(\varphi \geqslant \underline{0}, 0<\eta<\infty) \\
L\left[\eta, k_{1} \varphi_{1}+k_{2} \varphi_{2}\right]= \\
=k_{1} L\left[\eta, \varphi_{1}\right]+k_{3} L\left[\eta, \varphi_{2}\right]\left(k_{\mathbf{1}}, k_{2}-\text { const }\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

and the following form:

$$
\begin{gather*}
L[\eta, \varphi]=-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\pi}\left(1-2 \eta^{2}\right)\{C \operatorname{erf} \eta+ \\
+\int_{0}^{\eta} \varphi(\eta)\left[\left(1-2 \eta^{2}\right) \exp \eta^{2} \operatorname{erf} \eta+\frac{2 \eta}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right] d \eta- \\
\left.-\operatorname{erf} \eta \int_{0}^{\eta} \varphi(\eta)\left(1-2 \eta^{2}\right) \exp \eta^{2} d \eta\right\}-C \eta \exp \left(-\eta^{2}\right)+ \\
\quad+\eta \exp \left(-\eta^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{\eta} \varphi(\eta)\left(1-2 \eta^{3}\right) \exp \eta^{2} d \eta \\
\left.C=\int_{0}^{\infty} \varphi(\eta) G(\eta) d \eta, G(\eta)=\left(1-2 \eta^{2}\right) \operatorname{erfc} \eta \exp \eta^{2}-\frac{2 \eta}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right) . \tag{2.19}
\end{gather*}
$$

The equations for approximations of higher order can also be reduced to ordinary equations, which can be solved in quadratures. B) It follows from the results of [2] that:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial \eta^{2}}\right)_{n=0} & =-4 \int_{0}^{\infty} G(\eta) \Pi_{11}(\eta) d \eta,\left(\frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial \eta}\right)_{\eta=0}= \\
& =-4 \int_{\underline{0}}^{\infty} G(\eta) \Pi_{21}(\eta) d \eta . \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Again from [2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial \eta^{2}}\right)_{r_{1}=0}=\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\left[m+U^{\prime}\left(1+\frac{4}{3 \pi}\right)\right] U \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $\Pi_{21}$ from (2.14) into (2.20.2) and using (2.16) we can write

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial \eta}\right)_{\eta=0}=U^{\prime} V M_{1}+U V^{\prime} N+m V C_{1},  \tag{2.22}\\
M_{1}=4 \int_{0}^{\infty} G(\eta) \kappa(\eta) d \eta, x(\eta)=(\operatorname{erf} \eta)^{\prime}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{erf} \eta d \eta\right), \\
N=-4 \int_{0}^{\infty}(\operatorname{erf} \eta)^{2} G(\eta) d \eta, C_{1}=-4 \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{erf} \eta G(\eta) d \eta . \tag{2.23}
\end{gather*}
$$

After calculating the integrals in (2.23) we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}=C_{1}=\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}, \quad N=\frac{8}{3} \pi^{-\frac{3}{2}} . \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (2.22), (2.24), and (2.16), the coefficients of friction on the wing surface are

$$
\begin{gather*}
C_{X}=\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau} U}\left\{1+\tau\left[m+U^{\prime}\left(1+\frac{4}{3 \pi}\right)\right]+\cdots\right\}  \tag{2.25}\\
C_{Z}=\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \frac{V}{U} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau} U}\{1+ \\
\left.+\tau\left[m+U^{\prime}\left(1+\frac{4}{3 \pi} \frac{U}{V} \frac{V^{\prime}}{U^{\prime}}\right)\right]+\cdots\right\} \tag{2,26}
\end{gather*}
$$

Formula (2.25) was obtained in [2]. It follows that the instant at which the boundary layer separates (if this happens)-found with only the first two approximations-is determined from $(2,25)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{*}=\left[-m-U^{\prime}\left(1+4 / 3 x^{-1}\right)\right]^{-1} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (2.25) and (2.26) are written most simply for the case of flow over a sliding flat plate in a homogeneous transverse magnetic field. It follows from (2.5.1) and (2.10.1) that in this case:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=1-m x, \quad V=\operatorname{tg} \beta(1-m x) . \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.28) into (2.25) and (2.26), accurate to $\tau^{2}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{X}=\frac{C_{Z}}{\operatorname{tg} \beta}=\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi R}} \frac{2}{\sqrt{\tau}(1-m x)}\left(1-\frac{4 m}{3 \pi} \tau+\cdots\right) \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

or with the same accuracy

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{Z}=\operatorname{tg} \beta C_{X} \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Result (2.30) agrees (with the accuracy indicated above) with the result obtained for steady flow over a sliding flat plate in ordinary hydrodynamics ([7], for instance).
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